1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	WESTERN DIVISION
11	DARYL ARMSTEAD, No. CV 07-2246-CJC (AGR)
12	Petitioner,
13	v. JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
14	MOTI RAGHUNATH, et al.,
15	Respondent.
16	/
17	Pursuant 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the entire file de novo,
18	including the Petition, the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, the
19	Objections to the Report and Recommendation, and all records in the file. Having
20	made a de novo determination, the Court agrees with the recommendation of the
21	Magistrate Judge.
22	IT IS ORDERED that (1) the Report and Recommendation is adopted; (2)
23	Defendant's motion for summary judgment is granted; (3) Plaintiff's motion for leave
24	to amend the Second Amended Complaint is denied; and (4) this action is dismissed
25	with prejudice.
26	
27	DATED: December 1, 2009  CORMAC J. CARNEY
28	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE